

ENUMERATION OF TILINGS OF QUARTERED LOZENGE HEXAGONS AND QUARTERED AZTEC RECTANGLES

TRI LAI

ABSTRACT. We prove a simple product formula for the number of tilings the quarter of a hexagonal region with some defects in the triangular lattice. We prove also simple product formulas for the numbers of perfect matchings of certain Aztec rectangle graphs with holes on two sides. The results implies a generalization for a related work of W. Jockusch and J. Propp (*Antisymmetric monotone triangles and domino tilings of quartered Aztec diamonds*, unpublished work) on quartered Aztec diamonds. We also enumerate exactly the cyclically symmetric tilings of a certain family of holey Aztec rectangle regions.

Keywords: perfect matchings, tilings, dual graphs, Aztec diamonds, Aztec rectangles, quartered Aztec diamonds, quartered hexagons.

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05A15, 05E99

1. INTRODUCTION

A lattice divides the plane into fundamental regions. A (lattice) *region* is a finite connected union of fundamental regions of that lattice. A *tile* is the union of any two fundamental regions sharing an edge. A *tiling* of the region R is a covering of R by tiles with no gaps or overlaps. Denote by $T(R)$ the number of tilings of the region R .

In general, the tiles of a region R can carry weights. The *weight of a tiling* is defined to be the product of the weights of all constituent tiles. The operation $T(R)$ is now defined to be the sum of the weights of all tilings in R , and is called the *tiling generating function* of R . If R does not have any tiling, we let $T(R) := 0$.

Denote by $H_{a,b,c}$ the hexagon of sides a, b, c, a, b, c (in cyclic order, starting from the northwestern side) in the triangular lattice. Divide the hexagon $H_{m,2(n-k)+1,m}$, where $k = \lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor$, into four equal parts by its vertical and horizontal symmetry axes (see Figure 1.1 for an example). We consider the portion of the hexagon that consists of unit triangles lying completely inside the upper right quarter. Remove the a_1 -st, the a_2 -nd, $\dots$, and the a_k -th up-pointing unit triangles from the bottom of the portion. Denote by $QH_{m,n}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$ the resulting region. See the region restricted in the bold contour in Figure 1.1 for an example with $k = 7$, $m = 13$, $n = 12$, $a_1 = 2$, $a_2 = 3$, $a_3 = 5$, $a_4 = 7$, $a_5 = 8$, $a_6 = 10$, $a_7 = 12$; and Figure 1.2(a) shows an example, for $k = 6$, $m = 12$, $n = 11$, $a_1 = 2$, $a_2 = 3$, $a_3 = 5$, $a_4 = 6$, $a_5 = 8$, $a_6 = 11$.

Next, we consider the variant of $QH_{2k,n}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$ obtained by assigning all k vertical rhombus on its left side a weight $1/2$ (see Figure 1.2(c) for an example).




FIGURE 1.1. The hexagon $H_{13,11,13}$ and the region $QH_{7,12}(2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 10, 12)$ (restricted by the bold contour).




FIGURE 1.2. Three quartered hexagons: (a) $QH_{6,11}(2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 11)$, (b) $\overline{QH}_{7,12}(1, 4, 6, 7, 9, 11)$, and (c) $\overline{QH}_{6,11}(2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 11)$.

Denote the resulting region by $\overline{QH}_{2k,n}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$. We have also a similar variant of $QH_{2k-1,n}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$ defined as follows. We assign all $k - 1$ vertical rhombus on the left side of $QH_{2k-1,n}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$ a weight $1/2$, and remove the leftmost up-pointing unit triangle from the bottom of the region. Next, we remove the a_1 -st, the a_2 -nd, $\dots$, and the a_{k-1} -th up-pointing unit triangles from the bottom of the resulting region. The new region is denoted by $\overline{QH}_{2k-1,n}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-1})$ (illustrated in Figure 1.2(b)).

We call the four regions above *holey quartered hexagons*. The number of tilings of a holey quartered hexagon is given by the following theorem.

Hereafter, the empty products (like $\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (a_j - a_i)$ for $k = 1$) equal 1 by convention.

Theorem 1.1. *For any $1 \leq k < n$ and $1 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k \leq n$*

$$(1.1) \quad T(QH_{2k-1,n}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)) = \frac{1}{0!2!4! \dots (2k-2)!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (a_j - a_i)(a_i + a_j - 1),$$

$$(1.2) \quad T(QH_{2k,n}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)) = \frac{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k}{1!3!5! \dots (2k-1)!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (a_j - a_i)(a_i + a_j),$$

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} T(\overline{QH}_{2k+1,n}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)) &= 2^{-k} \frac{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k}{0!2!4! \dots (2k-2)!} \\ &\times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (a_j - a_i) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} (a_i + a_j), \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} T(\overline{QH}_{2k,n}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)) &= \frac{2^{-k}}{1!3!5! \dots (2k-1)!} \\ &\times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (a_j - a_i) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} (a_i + a_j - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Consider a $(2m+1) \times (2n+1)$ rectangular chessboard and suppose that the corners are black. The graph whose vertices are the white unit squares of the chessboard, and whose edges connect diagonally adjacent white unit squares, is called the *Aztec rectangle graph of order (m, n)* , and is denoted by $AR_{m,n}$ (see Figure 3.11(a) for an example).

A *perfect matching* of a graph G is a collection of edges such that each vertex of G is adjacent to exactly one selected edge. Denote by $M(G)$ the number of perfect matchings of G .

It has been proved that the number of perfect matchings of an Aztec rectangle graph with *holes* (i.e. the vertices that are removed) on one side is given by a simple product formula (see [1], (4.4); [8], Lemmas 1, 2; or [6] Lemma 2).

We now consider a new situation in which we allow the holes appear on *two* adjacent sides of an Aztec rectangle graph. We define two new families of holey Aztec rectangle graphs in the next two paragraphs.

Label the leftmost vertices of the Aztec rectangle graph $AR_{m,n}$ from bottom to top by $1, 2, \dots, m$, and label the bottommost vertices of the graph from left to right by $1, 2, \dots, n$. Remove all the leftmost vertices having even labels, and remove all bottommost vertices, except for the a_1 -st, the a_2 -nd, $\dots$, and the a_k -th vertices, where $1 \leq k \leq n$. Denote by $QE_{m,n}^1(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$ the resulting graph (Figure 1.3(a) shows an example with $m = 7$, $n = 10$, $a_1 = 2$, $a_2 = 3$, $a_3 = 6$, $a_4 = 9$; the white circles indicate the vertices removed).

Next, we also start with the Aztec rectangle graph $AR_{m,n}$, then remove all leftmost vertices having *odd* labels (as oppose to removing the ones with even labels as in the previous paragraph), and remove all bottommost vertices from $AR_{m,n}$, except




FIGURE 1.3. Two new holey Aztec rectangle graphs.

for the a_1 -st, the a_2 -nd, $\dots$, and the a_l -th vertices, where $1 \leq l \leq n$. Denote by $QE_{m,n}^2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_l)$ the resulting graph (an example of the graph is shown in Figure 1.3(b)).

The numbers of perfect matchings of the two holey Aztec rectangle graphs are given by the theorem stated below.

Theorem 1.2. *For any $1 \leq k < n$ and $1 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k \leq n$*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.5) \quad M(QE_{2k,n}^1(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)) &= M(QE_{2k-1,n}^1(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)) \\
 &= \frac{2^{k^2}}{0!2! \dots (2k-2)!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (a_j - a_i) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (a_i + a_j - 1),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.6) \quad M(QE_{2k+1,n}^2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)) &= M(QE_{2k,n}^2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)) \\
 &= \frac{2^{k^2}}{1!3! \dots (2k-1)!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (a_j - a_i) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (a_i + a_j - 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that the two holey Aztec rectangle graphs are bipartite graphs, so the numbers of vertices in their two vertex classes must be the same if they admit perfect matchings. One readily sees that the “balancing condition” between two vertex classes of $QE_{m,n}^1(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$ holds if and only if $k = \lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor$, and the balancing condition of $QE_{m,n}^2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_l)$ holds if and only if $l = \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$.

We consider next two variants of the holey Aztec rectangle graphs above in the next paragraph.

First, we remove all the leftmost vertices from the graph $AR_{m,n+1}$, label the leftmost vertices of the resulting graph from bottom to top by $1, 2, \dots, m+1$, and label the bottommost vertices of the resulting graph, except for the first one, from left to right by $1, 2, \dots, n$. Second, we remove the first vertex and all vertices with even labels on the left side of the graph, and remove all vertices in the bottom, except for the ones with labels $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k$, where $1 \leq k \leq n$. Denote by $\overline{QE}_{m,n}^1(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$ the resulting graph (see Figure 1.4(a) for an example). Similarly, if we remove the vertices of odd labels on the left side in the second step (as opposed to removing the




FIGURE 1.4. Two holey Aztec rectangle graphs: (a) $\overline{QE}_{4,5}^1(1, 4)$ and (b) $\overline{QE}_{4,5}^2(1, 4)$.

vertices with even labels), we get the graph $\overline{QE}_{m,n}^2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_l)$, where $1 \leq l \leq n$ (see Figure 1.4(b) for an example).

One readily sees that the balancing conditions of the above two graphs are $k = \lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor$ and $l = \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$, respectively.

Theorem 1.3. *For any $1 \leq k < n$ and $1 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k \leq n$*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.7) \quad M(\overline{QE}_{2k,n}^1(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)) &= M(\overline{QE}_{2k-1,n}^1(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)) \\
 &= \frac{2^{k^2-k}}{0!2!4! \dots (2k-2)!} \prod_{i=1}^k a_i \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (a_j - a_i) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} (a_i + a_j),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.8) \quad M(\overline{QE}_{2k+1,n}^2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)) = M(\overline{QE}_{2k,n}^2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)) \text{ notag}$$

$$(1.9) \quad = \frac{2^{k^2+k}}{1!3!5! \dots (2k-1)!} \prod_{i=1}^k a_i \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (a_j - a_i) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} (a_i + a_j).$$

We prove Theorem 1.1 by using Lindström-Gessel-Viennot theorem (see [5]; [12], Lemma 1; [14] Theorem 1.2) in Section 2. We use the subgraph replacements to prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 in Section 3. Section 4 proves simple product formulas for the number tilings of holey quartered Aztec rectangles, and the numbers of cyclically symmetric tilings of certain holey Aztec rectangle regions.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Similar to the case of regions with weighted tiles, we can generalize the definition of the operation $M(G)$ to the case of weighted graph G as follows. The *weight of a perfect matching* is defined to be the product of the weights of all constituent edges. The operation $M(G)$ is now defined to be the sum of the weights of all perfect matchings in G , and is called the *matching generating function* of G . If G does not have any perfect matching, we let $M(G) := 0$.

The *dual graph* of a region R is the graph whose vertices are the fundamental regions in R and whose edges connect precisely two fundamental regions sharing an edge. Each edge of the dual graph carries the weight of the corresponding tile of the region. The number of tilings (resp., the tiling generating function) of a region and the number of perfect matchings (resp., the matching generating function) of its dual graph are equal by a well-known bijection.




FIGURE 2.1. (a) A graph G with symmetric axis; (b) the resulting graph after the cutting procedure.

Next, we consider a useful Factorization Theorem due to Ciucu [1], which we will employ in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Let G be a weighted planar bipartite graph that is symmetric about a vertical line ℓ . Assume that the set of vertices lying on ℓ is a cut set of G (i.e., the removal of these vertices disconnects G). One readily sees that the number of vertices of G on ℓ must be even if G has perfect matchings, let $w(G)$ be half of this number. Let $a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \dots, a_{w(G)}, b_{w(G)}$ be the vertices lying on ℓ , as they occur from top to bottom. Let us color vertices of G by black or white so that any two adjacent vertices have opposite colors. Without loss of generality, we assume that a_1 is always colored white. Delete all edges on the left of ℓ at all white a_i 's and black b_j 's, and delete all edges on the right of ℓ at all black a_i 's and white b_j 's. Reduce the weight of each edge lying on ℓ by half; leave all other weights unchanged. Since the set of vertices of G on ℓ is a cut set, the graph obtained from the above procedure has two disconnected parts, one on the left of ℓ and one on the right of ℓ , denoted by G^+ and G^- respectively (see Figure 2.1). Then Ciucu's Factorization Theorem [1] implies that

$$(2.1) \quad M(G) = 2^{w(G)} M(G^+) M(G^-).$$

Next, we quote a result on the number of tilings of a *semi-hexagon* due to Helfgott and Gessel [6]. A semi-hexagon of sides $a, b, a, a + b$ is the portion of a hexagon of sides a, b, a, a, b, a (in cyclic order, starting from the northwestern side) in the triangular lattice that stays above the horizontal symmetric axis of the hexagon. We are interested in the number of tilings of the semi-hexagon sides $a, b, a, a + b$, where the s_1 -st, the s_2 -nd, $\dots$, and the s_a -th up-pointing unit triangles in the base have been removed, denoted by $SH_{a,b}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_a)$ (the removed unit triangles were called *dents* in [6]).

Lemma 2.1 ([6], Lemma 1). *For any $a, b > 0$, and $1 \leq s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_a \leq a + b$*

$$(2.2) \quad T(SH_{a,b}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_a)) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq a} \frac{s_j - s_i}{j - i}.$$

Denote by $[n]$ the set of the first n positive integers $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. We define an operation Δ by setting

$$\Delta(S) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (s_j - s_i),$$

for any finite set $S := \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$. Thus, the number of tilings of the semi-hexagon with dents in Lemma 2.1 is equal to $\Delta(S)/\Delta([a])$.

The following determinant identity has been proved by Krattenthaler [9].

Lemma 2.2 ([9], Identity (2.10) in Lemma 4). *Let $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, A_2, \dots, A_n$ be indeterminates, and let C be a constant. Then*

$$(2.3) \quad \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} ((X_i - A_n - C)(X_i - A_{n-1} - C) \dots (X_i - A_{j+1} - C) \cdot (X_i + A_n)(X_i + A_{n-1}) \dots (X_i + A_{j+1})) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (X_j - X_i)(C - X_i - X_j).$$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Write for short $R_1 := QH_{2k-1,n}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$. We use a standard bijection mapping each tiling μ of the region R_1 in the triangular lattice to a k -tuple of non-intersection lattice paths taking steps west or north on the square grid $\mathbb{Z}^2$.

Label the middles of the left sides of up-pointing unit triangles along the left boundary of R_1 from bottom to top by $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k$. Label the middles of the left sides of up-pointing unit triangles, which are removed from the bottom of the region, from left to right by $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k$ (see Figure 2.2(a) for an example corresponding to the region in Figure 1.1; the black dots indicate the points u_i 's and v_j 's).

Consider now a rhombus r_1 of μ whose one side contains u_i , for some arbitrary but fixed $1 \leq i \leq k$. Denote by w_1 the middle of the side of r_1 opposite the side containing u_i . Let r_2 be other rhombus of μ that has a side containing w_1 . Denote by w_2 the middle point of the side of r_2 opposite the side containing w_1 . Continue our rhombi selecting process by picking a new rhombus r_3 of μ that has a side containing w_2 . This process gives a path of rhombi growing upward, and ending in a rhombus containing one of the v_j 's (see the paths of shaded rhombi in Figure 2.2(b)). We can identify this path of rhombi with the linear path $u_i \rightarrow w_1 \rightarrow w_2 \rightarrow w_3 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow v_j$ (see the dotted paths in Figure 2.2(b)).

Consider next the obtuse (120° angle) coordinate system whose origin at v_1 and whose x -axis contains all the points u_i 's (see Figure 1.2(a)). The linear path connecting u_i and v_j is a lattice path in this coordinate. Normalize this coordinate system and rotating it in standard position, we get a lattice path on square grid $\mathbb{Z}^2$ (see Figure 1.2(c)). It is easy to see that v_j has coordinate $(j-1, 2j-2)$ and u_i has coordinate $(a_i-1, 0)$, for any $1 \leq i, j \leq k$.

We obtain this way a k -tuple $\mathcal{P}$ of lattice paths (one path for each $1 \leq i \leq k$), and they cannot touch each other (since the corresponding paths of rhombi are disjoint). One readily sees that the correspondence $\mu \mapsto \mathcal{P}$ is a bijection between the set of




FIGURE 2.2. Bijection between tilings of R_1 and families of non-intersecting paths.

tilings of R_1 and the set of k -tuples $\mathcal{P}$ of non-intersecting lattice paths starting at $u_1, \dots, u_k$, and ending at $v_1, \dots, v_k$.

By Lindström-Gessel-Viennot theorem ([12], Lemma 1; [14] Theorem 1.2), the number of such k -tuples $\mathcal{P}$ of non-intersection lattice paths is given by the determinant of the $k \times k$ matrix $\mathbf{A}$ whose (i, j) -entry is the number of lattice paths from $u_i = (a_i - 1, 0)$ to $v_j = (j - 1, 2j - 2)$ in $\mathbb{Z}^2$, that is

$$\binom{a_i + j - 2}{2j - 2} = \frac{(a_i + j - 2)!}{(2j - 2)!(a_i - j)!}$$

(assume that $\binom{a_i + j - 2}{2j - 2} = 0$ if $a_i - j < 0$). Factor out $\frac{1}{(2j - 2)!}$ from the each j th column of the matrix $\mathbf{A}$, for $1 \leq j \leq k$, we have

$$(2.4) \quad \det(\mathbf{A}) = \frac{1}{0!2! \dots (2k - 2)!} \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} ((a_i - j + 1)(a_i - j + 2) \dots (a_i + j - 2)).$$




FIGURE 2.3. Bijection between tilings of R_2 and families of non-intersecting paths.

Swap the j th and the $(n - j + 1)$ th columns, for any $1 \leq j \leq k$, in the matrix on the right hand side of (2.4), we get a new matrix

$$\mathbf{B} = ((a_i - n + j)(a_i - n + j + 1) \dots (a_i + n - j - 1))_{1 \leq i, j \leq k},$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \det(\mathbf{B}) = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} ((a_i - j + 1)(a_i - j + 2) \dots (a_i + j - 2)).$$

Apply Lemma 2.2, with $C = 1$ and $X_i = a_i$ and $A_j = n - j$, to the matrix $\mathbf{B}$, we obtain

$$(2.6) \quad \det(\mathbf{B}) = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (a_j - a_i)(a_i + a_j - 1).$$

Therefore, by (2.4), (2.5), and (2.6), we have

$$(2.7) \quad \det(\mathbf{A}) = \frac{1}{0!2! \dots (2k-2)!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (a_j - a_i)(a_i + a_j - 1),$$

and (1.1) follows.

Next, we prove (1.2) by the same method. We also have a bijection between the set of tilings of $R_2 := QH_{2k,n}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$ and the set of k -tuples of non-intersecting lattice path connecting $u_1, \dots, u_k$ and $v_1, \dots, v_k$, the only difference here is that the obtuse coordinate system is now selected so that v_1 has coordinate $(0, 1)$ (as oppose to having coordinate $(0, 0)$ in the proof of (1.1)). Figure 2.3 illustrates an example corresponding to the region in Figure 1.2(a). One readily sees that u_i has also coordinate $(a_i - 1, 0)$, and v_j has now coordinate $(j - 1, 2j - 1)$ in the new coordinate system, for $1 \leq i, j \leq k$. Again, by Lindström-Gessel-Viennot Theorem the number of tilings of R_2 is given by the determinant of the $k \times k$ matrix $\mathbf{D}$ whose (i, j) -entry is $\binom{a_i + j - 1}{2j - 1} = \frac{(a_i + j - 1)!}{(2j - 1)!(a_i - j)!}$.




FIGURE 2.4. Illustrating the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Factor out $\frac{1}{(2j-1)!}$ from the j th column, for any $1 \leq j \leq k$, of the matrix $\mathbf{D}$, we obtain

$$(2.8) \quad \det(\mathbf{D}) = \frac{1}{1!3!5! \dots (2k-1)!} \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} \left(\frac{(a_i + j - 1)!}{(a_i - j)!} \right).$$

Factor out a_i from the i th row of the matrix on the right hand side of (2.8), for any $1 \leq i \leq k$, we get

$$(2.9) \quad \det(\mathbf{D}) = \frac{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k}{1!3!5! \dots (2k-1)!} \times \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} ((a_i - j + 1) \dots (a_i - 1)(a_i + 1) \dots (a_i + j - 1)).$$

Swap the j th and the $(n - j + 1)$ th columns, for any $1 \leq j \leq k$, of the matrix on the right hand side of (2.9), we get a new matrix

$$(2.10) \quad \mathbf{E} = ((a_i - n + j)(a_i - n + j + 1) \dots (a_i - 1) \cdot (a_i + 1)(a_i + 2) \dots (a_i + n - j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq k},$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad \det(\mathbf{D}) = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} \frac{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k}{1!3!5! \dots (2k-1)!} \det(\mathbf{E}).$$

Apply Lemma 2.2, with $C = 0$ and $X_i = a_i$ and $A_j = n - j$, to the matrix $\mathbf{E}$, we have

$$(2.12) \quad \det(\mathbf{E}) = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (a_j - a_i)(a_i + a_j).$$

Therefore, by (2.8), (2.9), (2.11), and (2.12), we obtain

$$(2.13) \quad \det(\mathbf{D}) = \frac{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k}{1!3!5! \dots (2k-1)!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (a_j - a_i)(a_i + a_j),$$

which implies (1.2).

Apply the Factorization Theorem to the dual graph G of the semi-hexagon $SH_{2k, 2n}(S)$, where $S := \{n+1-a_k, n+1-a_{k-1}, \dots, n+1-a_1\} \cup \{n+a_1, n+a_2, \dots, n+a_k\}$. We

get G^- is isomorphic to the dual graph of the region $\overline{QH}_{2k,n}(a_1, \dots, a_k)$; and after removing all forced edges on the top of G^+ , we get a graph isomorphic to the dual graph of $QH_{2k-1,n}(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ (see Figure 2.4(a) for an example with $k = 3$, $n = 7$, $a_1 = 2$, $a_2 = 4$, $a_3 = 6$). Therefore, we obtain

$$(2.14) \quad T(SH_{2k,2n}(S)) = 2^k T(QH_{2k-1,n}(a_1, \dots, a_k)) T(\overline{QH}_{2k,n}(a_1, \dots, a_k)).$$

Similarly, apply the Factorization Theorem to the dual graph of the semi-hexagon $SH_{2k+1,2n+1}(S')$, where $S' := \{n+1-a_k, n+1-a_{k-1}, \dots, n+1-a_1\} \cup \{n+1\} \cup \{n+1+a_1, n+1+a_2, \dots, n+1+a_k\}$ (see Figure 2.4(b) for an example with $k = 3$, $n = 7$, $a_1 = 2$, $a_2 = 3$, $a_3 = 6$), we get

$$(2.15) \quad T(SH_{2k+1,2n+1}(S')) = 2^k T(QH_{2k,n}(a_1, \dots, a_k)) T(\overline{QH}_{2k+1,n}(a_1, \dots, a_k)).$$

By (1.1) and (2.14), together with Lemma 2.1, we have

$$(2.16a) \quad T(\overline{QH}_{2k,n}(a_1, \dots, a_k)) = \frac{2^{-k}}{1!3! \dots (2k-1)!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} ((n+1-a_i) - (n+1-a_j))$$

$$(2.16b) \quad \begin{aligned} & \times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (n+a_j - n-a_i) \frac{\prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} (n+a_i - (n+1-a_j))}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (a_j - a_i) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (a_i + a_j - 1)} \\ & = \frac{2^{-k}}{1!3! \dots (2k-1)!} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (a_j - a_i)^2 \prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} (a_i + a_j - 1)}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (a_j - a_i) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (a_i + a_j - 1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.16b) \quad = \frac{2^{-k}}{1!3! \dots (2k-1)!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (a_j - a_i) \prod_{1 \leq j \leq i \leq k} (a_i + a_j - 1),$$

which completes the proof of (1.4).

Analogously, by the Lemma 2.1, (1.2) and (2.15), we deduce (1.3). $\square$

Theorem 1.1 can be used to prove the following result about plane partitions first proved by Proctor in [13].

Corollary 2.3. *Let a and b be positive integer. The number of transposed complementary plane partitions contained in the box $a \times a \times 2b$ is equal to*

$$(2.17) \quad \binom{a+b-1}{a-1} \prod_{i=1}^{a-2} \prod_{j=i}^{a-2} \frac{2b+i+j+1}{i+j+1}.$$

Proof. Denote by $TC(a, a, 2b)$ the number of transposed complementary plane partitions contained in the box $a \times a \times 2b$. There is a bijection between the latter plane partitions and the tilings of the hexagon $H_{a,2b,a}$ that are invariant under reflection across the vertical symmetry axis ℓ of the region (one can see [1], Theorem 6.1, for more details). Therefore, $TC(a, a, 2b)$ is equal to the number of tilings of the subregion R of $H_{a,2b,a}$ that consists of unit triangles on the left of ℓ . Denote by R' the region obtained from R by removing the rows of horizontal rhombi that are forced on the top and on the bottom of R (see Figure 2.5(b), for the case $b = 3$ and $a = 5$; the forced rhombi have bold boundaries). On the other hand, we also get




FIGURE 2.5. Illustrating the proof of Corollary 2.3.

R' from $QH_{2(a-1),b+a-1}^1(b+1, b+2, \dots, b+a-1)$ by removing the vertical rhombi that are forced (see Figure 2.5(b), for $b = 3$ and $a = 5$; the forced rhombi have bold boundaries). Then the corollary follows from (1.2). $\square$

3. SUBGRAPH REPLACEMENTS AND THE PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.2 AND 1.3



The following two families of graphs will play a special role in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Remove all the leftmost and bottommost vertices of the Aztec rectangle graph $AR_{m,n}$, and denote by $OR_{m,n}$ the resulting graph (is sometimes called an *odd Aztec rectangle graph*). Apply the procedure in the definition of $QE_{m,n}^1(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ and $QE_{m,n}^2(a_1, \dots, a_l)$ to the graph $OR_{m,n}$ (instead of the Aztec rectangle $AR_{m,n}$). In particular, we label all the leftmost (resp., bottommost) vertices of $OR_{m,n}$ from bottom to top (resp., from left to right) by $1, 2, \dots, n$ (resp., by $1, 2, \dots, m$). Remove all vertices with even labels on the left side, and remove the a_1 -st, the a_2 -nd, $\dots$, and the a_l -th vertices from the bottom, where $1 \leq l \leq n$. Denote by $QO_{m,n}^1(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_l)$ the resulting graph (see Figure 3(a) for an example with $m = 7$, $n = 10$, $a_1 = 1$, $a_2 = 2$, $a_3 = 4$, $a_4 = 6$, $a_5 = 7$, $a_6 = 9$, $a_7 = 10$).

Similarly, we remove all vertices with odd labels from the left, and the a_1 -st, the a_2 -nd, $\dots$, and the a_k -th vertices from the bottom of $OR_{m,n}$, where $1 \leq k \leq n$. We get the graph $QO_{m,n}^2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$ (see Figure 3(b) for an example with $m = 7$, $n = 10$, $a_1 = 2$, $a_2 = 7$, $a_3 = 5$, $a_4 = 7$, $a_5 = 8$, $a_6 = 10$). We use the notations $QO_{m,n}^1(\emptyset)$ and $QO_{m,n}^2(\emptyset)$ if no bottom vertex has been removed.

Note that the balancing conditions of the two graphs $QO_{m,n}^1(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_l)$ and $QO_{m,n}^2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$ are $l = \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$ and $k = \lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor$, respectively.

The numbers of perfect matchings of the two families of graphs are given by the following theorem, that will be proved at the same time as Theorem 1.2.

(a) $QO_{7,10}^1(1,2,4,6,7,9,10)$ (b) $QO_{7,10}^2(2,4,5,7,8,10)$

Theorem 3.1. For any $1 \leq k < n$ and $1 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k \leq n$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.1) \quad & M(QO_{2k+1,n}^1(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)) = M(QO_{2k,n}^1(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)) \\
 & = \frac{2^{k(k-1)}}{1!3! \dots (2k-1)!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (a_j - a_i) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} (a_i + a_j - 1),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.2) \quad & MQO_{2k,n}^2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) = M(QO_{2k-1,n}^2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)) \\
 & = \frac{2^{k(k-1)}}{0!2! \dots (2k-2)!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (a_j - a_i) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (a_i + a_j - 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

An edge in a graph G is called a *forced edge*, if it is in every perfect matching of G . Let G be a weighted graph with weight function wt on its edges, and G' is obtained from G by removing forced edges $e_1, \dots, e_k$, and removing the vertices incident to those edges. Then one clearly has

$$M(G) = M(G') \prod_{i=1}^k \text{wt}(e_i).$$

From now on, whenever we remove some forced edges, we remove also the vertices incident to them. We have the following fact by considering forced edges.

Lemma 3.2. For any $1 \leq k < n$ and $1 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k \leq n$

$$(3.3) \quad M(QE_{2k,n}^1(a_1, \dots, a_k)) = M(QE_{2k-1,n}^1(a_1, \dots, a_k)),$$

$$(3.4) \quad M(QE_{2k+1,n}^2(a_1, \dots, a_k)) = M(QE_{2k,n}^2(a_1, \dots, a_k)),$$

$$(3.5) \quad M(QO_{2k+1,n}^1(a_1, \dots, a_{n-k})) = M(QO_{2k,n}^1(a_1, \dots, a_{n-k})),$$

$$(3.6) \quad M(QO_{2k,n}^2(a_1, \dots, a_{n-k})) = M(QO_{2k-1,n}^2(a_1, \dots, a_{n-k})).$$

Proof. The proofs of the first two equalities are illustrated in Figures 3.1(a) and (b) respectively. The last two equalities can be obtained similarly. $\square$

Next, we will employ several basic preliminary results stated below.




FIGURE 3.1. (a) Obtaining $QE_{3,5}^1(1, 4)$ from $QE_{4,5}^1(1, 4)$. (b) Obtaining $QE_{4,5}^2(1, 4)$ from $QE_{5,5}^2(1, 4)$.




FIGURE 3.2. Vertex splitting.




FIGURE 3.3.

Lemma 3.3 (Vertex-Splitting Lemma [3]). *Let G be a graph, v be a vertex of it, and denote the set of neighbors of v by $N(v)$. For any disjoint union $N(v) = H \cup K$, let G' be the graph obtained from $G \setminus v$ by including three new vertices v' , v'' and x so that $N(v') = H \cup \{x\}$, $N(v'') = K \cup \{x\}$, and $N(x) = \{v', v''\}$ (see Figure 3.2). Then $M(G) = M(G')$.*

Lemma 3.4 (Star Lemma). *Let G be a weighted graph, and let v be a vertex of G . Let G' be the graph obtained from G by multiplying the weights of all edges that are incident to v by $t > 0$. Then $M(G') = t M(G)$.*

Part (a) of the following result is a generalization due to Propp of the “urban renewal” trick first observed by Kuperberg. Parts (b) and (c) are due to Ciucu (see Lemma 2.6 in [5]).




FIGURE 3.4.




FIGURE 3.5. Illustrating Lemma 3.6.

Lemma 3.5 (Spider Lemma). (a) Let G be a weighted graph containing the subgraph K shown on the left in Figure 3.3 (the labels indicate weights, unlabeled edges have weight 1). Suppose in addition that the four inner black vertices in the subgraph K , different from A, B, C, D , have no neighbors outside K . Let G' be the graph obtained from G by replacing K by the graph $\bar{K}$ shown on right in Figure 3.3, where the dashed lines indicate new edges, weighted as shown. Then $M(G) = (xz + yt) M(G')$.

(b) Consider the above local replacement operation when K and $\bar{K}$ are graphs shown in Figure 3.4(a) with the indicated weights (in particular, K' has a new vertex D , that is incident only to A and C). Then $M(G) = 2 M(G')$.

(c) The statement of part (b) is also true when K and $\bar{K}$ are the graphs indicated in Figure 3.4(b) (in this case G' has two new vertices C and D , they are adjacent only to one another and to B and A , respectively).

Lemma 3.6 ([1], Lemma 4.2). Let G be a weighted graph having a 7-vertex subgraph H consisting of two 4-cycles that share a vertex. Let a, b_1, b_2, b_3 and a, c_1, c_2, c_3 be the vertices of the 4-cycles (listed in cyclic order) and suppose b_3 and c_3 are only the vertices of H with the neighbors outside H . Let G' be the subgraph of G obtained by deleting b_1, b_2, c_1 and c_2 , weighted by restriction. Then if the product of weights of opposite edges in each 4-cycle of H is constant, we have

$$M(G) = 2wt(b_1, b_2)wt(c_1, c_2) M(G').$$

By the above fundamental lemmas, we have the following fact.

Lemma 3.7. For any $1 \leq k < n$ and $1 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k \leq n$

$$(3.7) \quad M(QE_{2k-1,n}^1(a_1, \dots, a_k)) = 2^k M(QO_{2k-1,n}^2(a_1, \dots, a_k)),$$




FIGURE 3.6. Illustrating the proof of Lemma 3.7

$$(3.8) \quad M(QE_{2k,n}^1(a_1, \dots, a_k)) = 2^k M(QO_{2k-1,n}^1(a_1, \dots, a_k)).$$

Proof. The proofs of (3.7) and (3.8) are essentially the same, so we present only the proof of (3.7).

The proof of (3.7) is illustrated in Figure 3.6, for the case $k = 3$, $n = 6$, $a_1 = 1$, $a_2 = 3$, and $a_3 = 6$. First, apply Vertex-splitting Lemma 3.3 to all vertices of $G := QE_{2k-1,n}^1(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ which are on the dotted lines as in Figure 3.6(a). In particular, the vertices on the vertical dotted lines are separated horizontally, and the vertices on the horizontal dotted lines are separated vertically. We get the solid graph in Figure 3.6(b). Second, apply the suitable replacements in Spider Lemma 3.5 at the positions of the $(2k-1)n-n+1$ diamond and $n-1$ partial diamonds in the solid graph; the diamonds and partial diamonds with legs will be replaced by the 4-cycle with the dotted edges. We get the graph in the Figure 3.6(c), the dotted edges are weighted by $1/2$. Third, remove all forced edges (the solid edges in 3.6(c)), and apply the Star Lemma 3.4 with factor $t = 2$ to the resulting graph at all $(2k-1)n-k$ black vertices shown in Figure 3.8(c). We get the graph $G' := QO_{2k-1,n}^2(a_1, \dots, a_k)$. By Lemmas 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5, we obtain

$$(3.9) \quad M(G) = 2^{(2k-1)n-n+1} 2^{n-1} 2^{-(2k-1)n+k} M(G'),$$

which implies (3.7). $\square$

Remark 1. One can have another proof of the equality (3.7) by using the Complementation Theorem [2]. The cellular completion of $QE_{2k-1,n}^1(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ is the graph $\tilde{G}$ obtained from $QO_{2k-1,n}^2(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ by assigning all edges a weight $1/2$. Moreover, it is easy to see that each perfect matching of G' consists of $(2k-1)n-k$ edges of weight $1/2$, so each perfect matching of $\tilde{G}$ has weight $2^{-(2k-1)n+k}$. Thus, (3.7) follows.

The *connected sum* $G \# G'$ of two disjoint graphs G and G' along the ordered sets of vertices $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \subset V(G)$ and $\{v'_1, \dots, v'_n\} \subset V(G')$ is the graph obtained from G and G' by identifying vertices v_i and v'_i , for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Lemma 3.8. *Let G be a graph, and let $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ be an ordered subset of its vertex set.*




FIGURE 3.7. Two transformation in Lemma 3.8.

(a) Assume that K is the graph obtained from $QE_{2q,n}^2([n])$ by appending n vertical edges to n vertices in its bottom. Then

$$(3.10) \quad M(G \# K) = 2^{-q} M(G \# QO_{2q+1,n+1}^2([n+1])).$$

The transformation is illustrated in Figures 3.7(a) and (b), for $q = 2$ and $n = 4$; the white circles indicate the vertices $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$.

(b) Assume H is the graph obtained from $QO_{2q+1,n}^2(\emptyset)$ by appending n vertical edges to n vertices in its bottom. Then

$$(3.11) \quad M(G \# H) = 2^{-q} M(G \# QE_{2q+1,n}^1([n])).$$

The transformation is illustrated in Figures 3.7(c) and (d), for $q = 2$ and $n = 5$; the white circles indicate the vertices $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$.

In the two equalities (3.10) and (3.11), the connected sum acts on G along $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and acts on the other two summands along their bottom vertices (ordered from left to right).

Proof. Since the proofs of parts (a) and (b) are essentially the same, the proof of part(b) is omitted.

The illustration of the proof of part (a) is shown in Figure 3.8. First, we apply Vertex-splitting Lemma 3.3 to all vertices of K staying on the dotted line in Figure 3.8(a). In particular, the vertices on the vertical dotted lines are separated horizontally, and the vertices on the horizontal dotted lines are separated vertically. We get the graph with solid edges in Figure 3.8(b). Second, apply the suitable replacements in Spider Lemma 3.5 at the places of $(2q-1)(n-1)$ diamonds and $4q+n-2$ partial diamonds in the graph with solid line; the diamonds and partial diamonds with legs will be replaced by the 4-cycles with the dotted edges. We get the graph in the Figure 3.8(c), the dotted edges are weighted by $1/2$. Third, apply Star Lemma 3.4 with factor $t = 2$ at all $2p(n+1)$ black vertices shown in Figure 3.8(c), and apply




FIGURE 3.8. Illustrating the proof of Lemma 3.8(a).




FIGURE 3.9. Illustrating the proof of Theorem 3.9




FIGURE 3.10. Illustrating the proof of Theorem 3.9 (cont.).

Lemma 3.6 to all q 7-vertex subgraphs consisting of two shaded 4-cycles. We get finally the graph $G \# QO_{2q+1, n+1}^2([n+1])$. By Lemmas 3.3, 3.4, 3.5 and 3.6, we obtain

$$M(G \# K) = 2^{(2q-1)(n-1)} 2^{4q+n-1} 2^{-2q(n+2)} 2^q M(G \# QO_{2q+1, n+1}^2([n+1])),$$

which implies (3.10). $\square$

Lemma 3.9. For $1 \leq k < n$ and $1 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k \leq n$

$$(3.12) \quad M(QO_{2k-1, n}^2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)) = 2^{k(k-1)} T(QH_{2k-1, n}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k))$$

Proof. Apply alternately the replacements in Lemma 3.8 parts (a) and (b), for $q = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$, to the dual graph of $QH_{k, n}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$ from the top. The procedure is illustrated by Figures 3.9 and 3.10, the portion above the dotted line in a graph is replaced by the portion above that line in the next graph; the graphs in Figure




FIGURE 3.11. Aztec rectangle and two holey Aztec rectangles of order 3×5 . The white circles indicate the removed vertices.

3.9(d) and 3.10(a) are the same. Finally, we get the graph $QO_{2k-1,n}^2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$, and

$$(3.13) \quad \frac{M(QO_{2k-1,n}^2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k))}{T(QH_{2k-1,n}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k))} = 2^{2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} i} = 2^{k(k-1)},$$

which implies (3.12). $\square$

Before presenting the proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3, we quote two results about the number of perfect matchings of an Aztec rectangle graph with holes on one side.

Lemma 3.10 (see [1], (4.4); or [8], Lemma 1). *The number of perfect matchings of a $m \times n$ Aztec rectangle, where all the vertices in the bottom-most row, except for the a_1 -st, the a_2 -nd, $\dots$, and the a_m -th vertex, have been removed (see Figure 3.11(b) for an example with $m = 3$, $n = 5$, $a_1 = 1$, $a_2 = 3$, $a_3 = 5$), equals*

$$(3.14) \quad 2^{m(m+1)/2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \frac{a_j - a_i}{j - i}.$$

Next, we consider a variant of the lemma above (see [6], Lemma 2; or [8], Lemma 2).

Lemma 3.11. *The number of perfect matchings of a $m \times n$ Aztec rectangle, where all the vertices in the bottom-most row have been removed, and where the a_1 -st, the a_2 -nd, $\dots$, and the a_m -th vertex, have been removed from the resulting graph (see Figure 3.11(c), for an example with $m = 3$, $n = 5$, $a_1 = 3$, $a_2 = 4$, $a_3 = 6$), equals*

$$(3.15) \quad 2^{m(m-1)/2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \frac{a_j - a_i}{j - i}.$$

Denote by $AR_{m,n}(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ and $\overline{AR}_{m,n}(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ the graphs in Lemmas 3.10 and 3.11, respectively.

Next, we combine the proofs of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 3.1 into a single proof as follows.

Combined proof of Theorems 1.2 and 3.1. By Theorems 1.1 and Lemmas 3.9 and 3.2, we have (3.2). From Lemmas 3.2 and 3.7, together with (3.2), we have (1.5).

Apply the Factorization Theorem to the graph $AR_{2k,2n}(S)$, where $S = \{n+1-a_k, n+1-a_{k-1}, \dots, n+1-a_1\} \cup \{n+a_1, n+a_2, \dots, n+a_k\}$ (see Figure 3.12 for an example with $n = 7$, $k = 3$, $a_1 = 1$, $a_2 = 3$, $a_3 = 7$), we get

$$(3.16) \quad M(AR_{2k,2n}(S)) = 2^k M(QE_{2k,n}^1(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)) M(QE_{2k,n}^2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)).$$





FIGURE 3.12. Illustrating the proof of Theorem 1.2

FIGURE 3.13. Two holey Aztec rectangles: (a) $\overline{QO}_{5,6}^1(2, 6)$ and (b) $\overline{QO}_{5,6}^2(4, 6)$.

Similar to the proof of the equality (1.4) in Theorem 1.1, by equalities (1.5), (3.16) and Lemma 3.10, we obtain

$$(3.17) \quad M(QE_{2k,n}^2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)) = \frac{M(AR_{2k,2n}(S))}{2^k M(QE_{2k,n}^1(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k))}$$

$$(3.18) \quad = 2^{2k(2k+1)/2} \frac{\Delta(S)}{0!1!2! \dots (2k-1)!} \\ \times \frac{0!2! \dots (2k-2)!}{2^{k(k+1)} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (a_j - a_i)(a_i + a_j - 1)}$$

$$(3.19) \quad = \frac{2^{k^2}}{1!3! \dots (2k-1)!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (a_j - a_i) \prod_{1 \leq j \leq i \leq k} (a_i + a_j - 1).$$

Thus, Lemma 3.2 implies (1.6).

Finally, by Lemmas 3.2 and 3.7, together with (1.6), we get (3.1). $\square$

The following two families of graphs will play the key role in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

We remove all the bottommost vertices of $AR_{m,n}$, and label the vertices on the left side of resulting graph by $1, 2, \dots, m$ (from bottom to top), and label the vertices in its bottom, except for the first one, by $1, 2, \dots, n$ (from left to right). Second, we remove the first vertex and all vertices with even labels on the left side of the graph, and remove the vertices with labels $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_l$ from it bottom, for $1 \leq l \leq$

n . Denote by $\overline{QO}_{m,n}^1(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_l)$ the resulting graph (see Figure 3.13(a) for an example).

Repeat the procedure in the previous paragraph, the only change is that we remove now the vertices of *odd* labels on the left side in the second step, we get the graph $\overline{QO}_{m,n}^2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$, where $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k$ are the labels of vertices removed from the bottom, and where $1 \leq k \leq n$ (see Figure 3.13(b) for an example). One readily sees that the balancing conditions for the two graph in the previous paragraph are $l = \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$ and $k = \lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor$, respectively.

The numbers of perfect matchings of the above two families of graphs are given by the following theorem.

Theorem 3.12. *For $1 \leq k < n$ and $1 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k \leq n$*

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} M(\overline{QO}_{2k+1,n}^1(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)) &= M(\overline{QO}_{2k+2,n}^1(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)) \\ &= \frac{2^{k^2-2k}}{0!2!4! \dots (2k-2)!} \prod_{i=1}^k a_i \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (a_j - a_i) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} (a_i + a_j), \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} M(\overline{QO}_{2k+1,n}^2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)) &= M(\overline{QO}_{2k,n}^2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)) \\ &= \frac{2^{k^2}}{1!3!5! \dots (2k-1)!} \prod_{i=1}^k a_i \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (a_j - a_i) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} (a_i + a_j). \end{aligned}$$

Again, we combine the proofs of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 3.12 into a single proof below.

Combined proof of Theorems 1.3 and 3.12. By considering forced edges, we have

$$(3.22) \quad M(\overline{QE}_{2k,n}^1(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)) = M(\overline{QE}_{2k-1,n}^1(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)),$$

$$(3.23) \quad M(\overline{QE}_{2k+1,n}^2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)) = M(\overline{QE}_{2k,n}^2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)),$$

$$(3.24) \quad M(\overline{QO}_{2k,n}^1(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)) = M(\overline{QO}_{2k-1,n}^1(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)),$$

$$(3.25) \quad M(\overline{QO}_{2k+1,n}^2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)) = M(\overline{QO}_{2k,n}^2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)).$$

By using the four fundamental Lemmas 3.3, 3.4, 3.5 and 3.6 as in the proof Lemma 3.7, one can get

$$(3.26) \quad M(\overline{QE}_{2k,n}^2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)) = 2^k M(\overline{QO}_{2k,n}^2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)).$$

Similar to Lemma 3.9, we have the following fact.

$$(3.27) \quad M(\overline{QO}_{2k,n}^2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)) = 2^{k^2} T(QH_{2k,n}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)).$$

Factorization Theorem implies

$$(3.28) \quad M(AR_{2k,2n+1}(S')) = 2^k M(\overline{QE}_{2k,n}^1(a_1, \dots, a_k)) M(\overline{QE}_{2k,n}^2(a_1, \dots, a_k)),$$

and

$$(3.29) \quad M(\overline{AR}_{2k+1,2n}(S')) = 2^k M(\overline{QO}_{2k+1,n}^1(a_1, \dots, a_k)) M(\overline{QO}_{2k+1,n}^2(a_1, \dots, a_k)),$$




FIGURE 4.1. Aztec rectangle regions as the portions of Aztec diamonds.




FIGURE 4.2. Three kinds of quartered Aztec diamonds of order 8.

where $S' := \{n+1-a_k, a+1-a_{k-1}, \dots, n+1-a_1\} \cup \{n+1\} \cup \{n+1+a_1, n+1+a_2, \dots, n+1+a_k\}$. Finally, we get (1.7), (1.8), (3.20), and (3.21) by arguing similarly to the combined proof of Theorems 1.2 and 3.1. $\square$

4. QUARTERED HOLEY AZTEC RECTANGLES

The *Aztec diamond* of order n is defined to be the union of all the unit squares with integral corners (x, y) satisfying $|x| + |y| \leq n+1$. The Aztec diamond of order 7 is shown in Figure 4.1, and the Aztec diamond of order 8 is shown in Figure 4.2. It has been shown that the number of tilings of the Aztec diamond of order n is $2^{n(n+1)}$ [4].

An *Aztec rectangle region* is defined to be a portion of an Aztec diamond as the shaded regions in Figures 4.1(a) and (b), for the case of $m < n$ and $m > n$ respectively. One readily see that the dual graph of the Aztec rectangle region of

order (m, n) is the Aztec rectangle graph of the same order. Denote by $\mathcal{AR}_{m,n}$ the Aztec rectangle region of order (m, n) .

Jockusch and Propp [7] considered a region, named *quartered Aztec diamonds*, obtained from an Aztec diamond by dividing into four parts by two zigzag cuts. Figure 4.2 illustrates the three kinds of quartered Aztec diamonds of order 8, that are denoted by $R(8)$, $K_a(8)$ and $K_{na}(8)$ (one can see the precise definition in [1]). We generalize the family of quartered Aztec diamonds to a family of regions, which we called *quartered holey Aztec rectangles*¹, in the next paragraph.

Consider an Aztec rectangle $\mathcal{AR}_{a,b}$. Denote by ℓ and ℓ' the southeast-to-northwest and the southwest-to-northeast symmetry axes of the region. Remove some unit squares along ℓ so that their appearance is symmetric about ℓ' . In particular, there are four cases to distinguish as follows.

Case 1. $a = 2m$ and $b = 2n$ for $m < n$.

We remove $2l = 2n - 2m$ unit squares along ℓ . Divide the region into two congruent parts by a zigzag cut with $(0, 2)$ and $(2, 0)$ steps that passes the center of the region and runs along ℓ' . Divide also the region into two congruent parts by a zigzag cut passing the center of the region and running along ℓ , so that when we identify the opposite vertices on ℓ of any removed unit squares, the zigzag cut becomes a zigzag cut with $(0, -2)$ and $(2, 0)$ steps. Up to symmetry, we have two ways to superimpose the two zigzag cuts as in Figure 4.3.

Case 2. $a = 2m - 1$ and $b = 2n - 1$ for $m > n$.

We remove $2l = 2m - 2n$ unit squares running along ℓ . Similar to Case 1, there are two ways to superimpose the two zigzag cuts as in Figure 4.4.

Case 3. $a = 2m - 1$ and $b = 2n$ for $m > n$.

We admit a removed unit square containing the center of the region. The number of removed unit square along ℓ is now $2l + 1 = 2m - 1 - 2n$. Divide the region into 4 parts by superimposing the two zigzag cuts running along ℓ and ℓ' , so that when we identify four vertices of the center square and identify the opposite vertices on ℓ of any other removed unit squares, we get two zigzag cuts of 2-unit steps as in Cases 1 and 2. Up to symmetry, we also have two ways to superimpose the two zigzag cuts as in Figure 4.5.

Case 4. $a = 2m$ and $b = 2n + 1$ for $m < n$.

We admit also a removed unit square containing the center of the region. The number of removed unit square along ℓ is now $2l + 1 = 2n + 1 - 2m$. Up to symmetry we have two ways to superimpose the two zigzag cuts as in Figure 4.6.

Call four pieces obtained from the cutting procedure above *quartered holey Aztec rectangles*. We label all unit squares running along ℓ by $1, 2, 3, \dots$ away from the center (if there is a square containing the center, then that square is labeled 0). Next, we color alternately black or white all the unit squares resting on ℓ which are not removed. Going along ℓ from left to right, we assume that the first colored unit square on the right of ℓ' is white. Let $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ be the label sets of the white and the black unit squares, respectively. Denote by $RN_{a,b}(\mathcal{S})$, $RE_{a,b}(\mathcal{S})$, $RS_{a,b}(\mathcal{S})$ and

¹For the sake of simplicity, “Aztec rectangle(s)” refers to “Aztec rectangle regions” in the rest of this section.




FIGURE 4.3. Quartered holey Aztec rectangles of size $2m \times 2n$.




FIGURE 4.4. Quartered holey Aztec rectangle of size $(2m - 1) \times (2n - 1)$.





FIGURE 4.5. Quartered holey Aztec rectangle of size $(2m - 1) \times 2n$.

FIGURE 4.6. Quartered holey Aztec rectangle of size $2m \times (2n + 1)$.

$RW_{a,b}(\mathcal{S})$ the northern, the eastern, the western and the southern pieces in the left pictures of Figures 4.3–4.6, where $\mathcal{S}$ is the label set of the removed unit squares on the right of ℓ' (excluding the center square). Similarly, denote by $KN_{a,b}(\mathcal{S})$, $KE_{a,b}(\mathcal{S})$, $KS_{a,b}(\mathcal{S})$ and $KW_{a,b}(\mathcal{S})$ the corresponding four pieces in the right pictures of Figures 4.3–4.6. We denote by $\mathcal{AR}_{a,b}(\mathcal{S})$ the corresponding holey Aztec rectangle.

One can see that the eastern and the western pieces, and the northern and the southern pieces are congruent. Moreover, the dual graphs of those quartered holey Aztec rectangles belong to the eight families of graphs $QE_{m,n}^1(\dots)$, $QE_{m,n}^2(\dots)$, $QO_{m,n}^1(\dots)$, $QO_{m,n}^2(\dots)$, $\overline{QE}_{m,n}^1(\dots)$, $\overline{QE}_{m,n}^2(\dots)$, $\overline{QO}_{m,n}^1(\dots)$, and $\overline{QO}_{m,n}^2(\dots)$ defined as in Section 1 and Section 3 (see the green graphs in Figures 4.3–4.6). In particular, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. (1) For $1 \leq m < n$

$$(4.1) \quad T(RN_{2m,2n}(\mathcal{S})) = M(QE_{m,n}^1(\mathcal{E})),$$

$$(4.2) \quad T(RE_{2m,2n}(\mathcal{S})) = M(QE_{m,n}^2(\mathcal{O})),$$

$$(4.3) \quad T(KN_{2m,2n}(\mathcal{S})) = M(QE_{m,n}^2(\mathcal{E})),$$

$$(4.4) \quad T(KE_{2m,2n}(\mathcal{S})) = M(QE_{m,n}^1(\mathcal{O})).$$

(2) For $1 \leq n < m$

$$(4.5) \quad T(RN_{2m-1,2n}(\mathcal{S})) = M(QO_{m,n}^2(\mathcal{O})),$$

$$(4.6) \quad T(RE_{2m-1,2n}(\mathcal{S})) = M(QO_{m,n}^2(\mathcal{E})),$$

$$(4.7) \quad T(KN_{2m-1,2n}(\mathcal{S})) = M(QO_{m,n}^2(\mathcal{O})),$$

$$(4.8) \quad T(KE_{2m-1,2n}(\mathcal{S})) = M(QO_{m,n}^1(\mathcal{E})).$$

(3) For $1 \leq n < m$

$$(4.9) \quad T(RN_{2m-1,2n-1}(\mathcal{S})) = M(\overline{QO}_{m,n}^2(\mathcal{O})),$$

$$(4.10) \quad T(RE_{2m-1,2n-1}(\mathcal{S})) = M(\overline{QO}_{m,n}^1(\mathcal{E})),$$

$$(4.11) \quad T(KN_{2m-1,2n-1}(\mathcal{S})) = M(\overline{QO}_{m,n}^1(\mathcal{O})),$$

$$(4.12) \quad T(KE_{2m-1,2n-1}(\mathcal{S})) = M(\overline{QO}_{m,n}^2(\mathcal{E})).$$

(4) For $1 \leq m < n$

$$(4.13) \quad T(RN_{2m,2n+1}(\mathcal{S})) = M(\overline{QE}_{m,n}^2(\mathcal{E})),$$

$$(4.14) \quad T(RE_{2m,2n+1}(\mathcal{S})) = M(\overline{QE}_{m,n}^1(\mathcal{O})),$$

$$(4.15) \quad T(KN_{2m,2n+1}(\mathcal{S})) = M(\overline{QE}_{m,n}^1(\mathcal{E})),$$

$$(4.16) \quad T(KE_{2m,2n+1}(\mathcal{S})) = M(\overline{QE}_{m,n}^2(\mathcal{O})).$$

Remark 2. In the Cases 1 and 2, our procedure still works when $m = n$, and when there are no removed unit squares. Then our quartered holey Aztec rectangles become the quartered Aztec diamonds. This means that Theorems 1.2, 1.3, 3.1, 3.12 imply the formulas for the number of tilings of quartered Aztec diamonds (see [1]). The author has also a simple proof for the number of tilings of quartered Aztec diamonds [11].

We are interested in the number cyclically symmetric tilings of the holey Aztec rectangle region that are invariant under the 180° -rotation at the center. We call these tilings *cyclically symmetric tilings*. Denote by $T^*(R)$ the number of cyclically symmetric tilings of the region R .

Recall that the operation Δ on a finite set $S := \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$ is defined to be the product $\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (s_j - s_i)$. We define five more operations as follows.

$$(4.17) \quad \Phi(S) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (s_i + s_j - 1),$$

$$(4.18) \quad \Phi^*(S) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} (s_i + s_j - 1),$$

$$(4.19) \quad \Psi(S) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (s_i + s_j),$$

$$(4.20) \quad \Psi^*(S) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} (s_i + s_j),$$

$$(4.21) \quad \Theta(S) = \prod_{i=1}^k s_i,$$




FIGURE 4.7. Illustrating of the proof of Theorem 4.2; (a) graph G and (b) graph $\bar{G}$.

where, as mentioned before, the empty products are equal 1 by convention.

The number of cyclically symmetric tilings of a holey Aztec rectangle region is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. (a) For any $n > m$ and $1 \leq c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_{n-m} \leq n$

$$(4.22) \quad T^*(\mathcal{AR}_{2m,2n}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-m})) = \frac{2^{2m^2+m}}{0!1!2!3! \dots (2m-1)!} \Delta(\mathcal{O})\Delta(\mathcal{E})\Phi(\mathcal{O})\Phi^*(\mathcal{E}).$$

(b) For any $m > n$ and $1 \leq c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_{m-n} \leq m$

$$(4.23) \quad T^*(\mathcal{AR}_{2m-1,2n-1}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{m-n})) = \frac{2^{n+2m(m-1)}}{0!1!2!3! \dots (2m-1)!} \times \Delta(\mathcal{O})\Delta(\mathcal{E})\Phi(\mathcal{O})\Phi^*(\mathcal{E}).$$

(c) For any $m > n$ and $1 \leq c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_{m-n-1} \leq m$

$$(4.24) \quad T^*(\mathcal{AR}_{2m-1,2n}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{m-n-1})) = \frac{2^{n+2m(m-1)}\Theta(\mathcal{O})\Theta(\mathcal{E})}{0!1!2!3! \dots (2m-1)!} \times \Delta(\mathcal{O})\Delta(\mathcal{E})\Psi^*(\mathcal{O})\Psi(\mathcal{E}).$$

(d) For any $n > m$ and $1 \leq c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_{n-m} \leq n$

$$(4.25) \quad T^*(\mathcal{AR}_{2m,2n-1}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-m})) = \frac{2^{m+2m^2}\Theta(\mathcal{O})\Theta(\mathcal{E})}{0!1!2!3! \dots (2m-1)!} \times \Delta(\mathcal{O})\Delta(\mathcal{E})\Psi^*(\mathcal{O})\Psi(\mathcal{E}).$$

Proof. We prove only part (a) (the proofs of the other parts are similar, and are omitted).

Consider the dual graph G of the the region $\mathcal{AR}_{2m,2n}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-m})$, i.e. the graph $AR_{2m,2n}$ with the corresponding vertices on its horizontal symmetry axis $\tilde{\ell}$ removed. Let $\tilde{G}$ be the subgraph of G induced by the vertices below or on $\tilde{\ell}$ (see


FIGURE 4.8. Application of the Factorization Theorem to $\overline{G}$.

Figure 4.7(a)). Let S be the set of vertices of $\tilde{G}$ lying on ℓ . Label two vertices of S lying closest to the center of the graph by 1, label the two next closest vertices by 2 and so on. Let $\overline{G}$ be the graph obtained from $\tilde{G}$ by identifying all pair of vertices having the same label (see Figure 4.7(b)). The number of cyclically symmetric tilings of the region is equal to the number of cyclically symmetric perfect matchings of its dual graph, and the latter number is exactly the number of perfect matchings of $\overline{G}$.

Note that we can put all vertices of $\overline{G}$, which are obtained from identifying two vertices of the same label in $\tilde{G}$, on the vertical symmetric axis of $\tilde{G}$. Thus, $\overline{G}$ admits a vertical symmetry axis, and we can apply the Factorization Theorem to $\overline{G}$ (see Figure 4.8). Since the number of holes in the Aztec rectangle graph is $l = 2m - 2n$, we have $2w(\overline{G}) = m + (n - l) = 2m$. Moreover, $\overline{G}^-$ is isomorphic to $QE_{m,m}^2(\mathcal{E})$, and $\overline{G}^+$ is isomorphic to $QE_{m,n}^1(\mathcal{O})$. Therefore, we obtain

$$(4.26) \quad M(\overline{G}) = 2^m M(QE_{m,m}^2(\mathcal{E})) M(QE_{m,n}^1(\mathcal{O})),$$

which proves part (a) of the theorem. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Ciucu, *Enumeration of perfect matchings in graphs with reflective symmetry*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **77** (1997), 67–97.
- [2] M. Ciucu, *A complementation theorem for perfect matchings of graphs having a cellular completion*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **81** (1998), 34–68.
- [3] M. Ciucu, *Perfect matchings and applications*, COE Lecture Note, 26. Math-for-Industry Lecture Note Series, Kyushu University, Faculty of Mathematics, Fukuoka, 2010, 1–67.
- [4] N. Elkies, G. Kuperberg, M. Larsen, and J. Propp, *Alternating-sign matrices and domino tilings (Part I)*, J. Algebraic Combin. **1** (1992), 111–132.

- [5] I. M. Gessel and X. Viennot, *Bonomial determinants, paths, and hook lenght formulae*, Adv. in Math. **58** (1985), 300–321.
- [6] H. Helfgott and I. M. Gessel, *Enumeration of tilings of diamonds and hexagons with defects*, Electron. J. Combin. **6** (1999), R16.
- [7] W. Jockusch and J. Propp, *Antisymmetric monotone triangles and domino tilings of quartered Aztec diamonds*, Unpublished work.
- [8] C. Krattenthaler, *Schur function identities and the number of perfect matchings of holey Aztec rectangles*, q-series from a contemporary perspective (South Hadley, MA, 1998), 335–349, Contemp. Math., 254, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.
- [9] C. Krattenthaler, *Advanced determinant calculus*, Séminaire Lotharingien Combin. **42** (“The Andrews Festschrift”) (1999), paper B42q.
- [10] T. Lai, *Enumeration of hybrid domino-lozenge tilings*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **122** (2014), 53–81.
- [11] T. Lai, *A simple proof for the number of tilings of quartered Aztec diamonds*, Elec. J. Combin. **21**, Issue 1 (2014), P1.6.
- [12] B. Lindström, *On the vector representations of induced matroids*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **5** (1973), 85–90.
- [13] R. A. Proctor, *Odd symplectic groups*, Invent. Math. **92** (1988), 307–332.
- [14] J. R. Stembridge, *Nonintersecting paths, Pfaffians and plane partitions*, Adv. in Math. **83** (1990), 96–131.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIANA UNIVERSITY, BLOOMINGTON, IN, U.S.A. 47405

E-mail address: tmlai@indiana.edu